Acta Cryst. (1975). A31, 688

A Vibrating Perfect Crystal Assumed to be a Real One

BY P. MIKULA, R. MICHALEC, B. CHALUPA AND L. SEDLÁKOVÁ

Nuclear Physics Institute of the Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, Řež near Prague, Czechoslovakia

AND V. PETRŽÍLKA

Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Charles University, Prague, Czechoslovakia

(Received 25 *February* 1975; *accepted* 29 *March* 1975)

A generalized formula for the integrated intensity of neutrons diffracted by a vibrating perfect crystal is derived on the basis of the lamella structure with the symbols of Zachariasen's general theory. The formula is introduced together with new experimental data for diffraction from a longitudinally vibrating quartz single crystal.

1. Introduction

The theoretical and experimental investigations of neutron diffraction by a vibrating single crystal by Buras, Giebultowicz, Minor & Rajca (1972) and Michalec, Chalupa, Sedláková, Mikula & Petržílka (1974) (in these papers the references are given to other previous papers) gave results showing a manifold enhancement of the average value of integrated reflectivity. The average enhancement was reported to be a monotonically increasing function of the vibration amplitude.

If only the integrated reflectivity is assumed, an arbitrary real crystal may constitute an intermediate stage between two ideal cases; an ideally perfect crystal (dynamical theory) and an ideally imperfect crystal (kinematical theory).

According to Zachariasen (1967), the integrated intensity of a beam diffracted by the non-vibrating crystal, P_N , can be expressed in the form

$$
P_N = P_{\text{kin}} y_{\text{ext}} \,, \tag{1}
$$

where P_{kin} is the intensity origin by the kinematical approximation and y_{ext} the extinction factor. P_{kin} is given by the relation

$$
P_{\rm kin} = P_0 \nu A(\mu) Q \ , \qquad (2)
$$

where P_0 is the incident intensity, ν is the irradiated crystal volume, $A(\mu)$ is the transmission factor with linear absorption coefficient μ and Q is the reflectivity per unit volume. Furthermore in this paper we shall assume $A(\mu)=1$.

We are interested in the problem of determining the general extinction factor y_{ext}^V of the vibrating perfect crystal, the finding of which enables the vibrating perfect crystal to be considered as a real one, being the intermediate case mentioned above.

The factor y_{ext}^V will evidently be time dependent and responsible for the time modulation of the diffracted neutrons (Michalec, Sedláková, Chalupa, Galociová & Petržílka, 1971).

2. Integrated intensity of neutrons diffracted by a vibrating perfect crystal

Let us consider a lattice plane *(hkl)* of a single-crystal bar parallel to the *XZ* plane (Fig. 1). The bar is cut in this way in order to be able to vibrate it longitudinally in the Y direction with the resonance frequency $Kf = K\omega/2\pi = Kc_Y/2L$, where K is the mode order, c_Y is the velocity of ultrasonic waves in the Y direction axis and L is the length of the bar parallel to the Y axis.

The displacement $U_{\nu K}$ of the plane *(hkl)* at an arbitrary point, y , of the bar for the Kth harmonic can be described by a simple sinusoidal function in space and time

$$
U_{yK} = U_{0K} \sin \frac{K\pi}{L} y \sin K\omega t , \qquad (3)
$$

where U_{0K} is the maximum amplitude of the Kth harmonic and t is the time.

The elastic deformation $\partial U_{yR}/\partial y$ and the movement of the lattice plane with velocity $\partial U_{yR}/\partial t$ (which induces Doppler and aberration effects) bring about a time-dependent alteration $\varphi(y, t) = \theta(y, t) - \theta_0$ of the Bragg angle θ_0 (Michalec, Sedláková, Čech & Petržílka, 1971)

$$
\varphi(y,t) = -\left(\frac{\partial U_{yK}}{\partial y} + \frac{1}{|v_Y|} \frac{\partial U_{yK}}{\partial t}\right) \text{tg } \theta_0, \qquad (4)
$$

where $|v_{y}| = v_0 \sin \theta_0$ and v_0 is the velocity of the incident neutrons. The relation (4) is valid for $\theta_0 \ll \pi/2$.

According Michalec *et al.* (1974) the integrated intensity is a monotonically increasing function of $|\delta\varphi(y,t)|$, where to a good approximation for $y \simeq L/2K$

$$
\delta\varphi(y,t) \simeq U_{0K} \frac{K^2 \omega^2 D \tanh^2 \theta_0}{v_Y^2} \sin \frac{K\pi}{L} y \sin K \omega t , \quad (5)
$$

in which the contribution of elastic deformation is neglected. $\delta\varphi(y,t)$ corresponds to the change of the angle $\varphi(y,t)$ during the time $\delta t = D$ tg $\theta_0/|v_x|$, which the neutrons spend in the bar of thickness D. Formula (5) holds if D tg $\theta_0 \ll y$ and $\delta t \ll T$, where T is the vibration period.

If a linear dependence of the integrated intensity on the value $|\delta \varphi(y, t)|$ is assumed, the quantity $(2/\pi) |\overline{\delta \varphi(y, t)}|$ can be interpreted as the averaged angle of total reflectivity of the vibrating perfect crystal (Mikula, Michalec, Čech, Chalupa, Sedláková & Petržílka, 1974). Thus the integrated intensity of the vibrating perfect crystal $P_{\text{kin}}^{\nu}(y,t)$, in the sample position for symmetric transmission, can be simply expressed in the form

$$
P_{\text{kin}}^{\nu}(y,t) = 2P_N \frac{|\delta \varphi(y,t)|}{\pi s}, \qquad (6)
$$

where P_N is the integrated intensity corresponding to the non-vibrating case and 2s is the angle interval of total reflectivity for a perfect non-vibrating crystal given by

$$
2s = \frac{2\lambda^2 N_c F_{hkl}}{\pi \sin 2\theta_0},\tag{7}
$$

in which the symbols have their usual meanings.

As in the paper of Buras *et al.* (1972) the ratio $|\delta\varphi(y,t)|/(\pi s/2)$ can be assumed to be the number of independently diffracting perfect 'crystalline layers' parallel to the Y axis and perpendicular to the X axis [see Fig. $1(a)$] in the position of symmetric Laue transmission. Mikula *et aL* (1974) prefer to deal with the ratio $|\delta \varphi(y,t)|/(\pi s)$, which they interpret as the number of ideally perfect 'layers' perpendicular to the Y axis, and they use the symbols defined for symmetric Bragg reflexion. Then $2P_N$ means the integrated intensity diffracted by one 'layer'.

It is apparent that during the time interval *At* when $|\delta\varphi(y,t)| \, \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}$ as the relation (6) is not valid, because the value $P_{kin}^{\nu}(y, t)$ cannot be lower than P_N . Therefore it is necessary to make $\Delta t \ll T/2$, which can be done by increasing the amplitude U_{0K} . Then the deviation of the real value of the integrated intensity from the calculated one can be neglected.

Fig. 1. Schematic arrangement for neutron diffraction by a vibrating single crystal bar with respect to the crystallographic system of coordinates, n is the unit vector in the positive direction of the Y axis. 1 – once-reflected neutron beam, 2 – incident beam after the single diffraction process, 3 - possible secondary reflected neutron beam, 4 and 5 - the beam engaged twice in the diffraction process and reflected only once, 6 – incident beam engaged twice in the diffraction process without any reflexion (see Appendix).

If the amplitude U_{0K} is greatly increased, the integrated intensity $P_{\text{kin}}^{\nu}(y, t)$ approaches the limiting value P_{kin} of an ideally imperfect crystal. This case occurs during the period T whenever the ratio $\frac{\partial \varphi(y, t)}{\partial x}$ is comparable with the value of the ratio D tg θ_0/t_{ext} , where t_{ext} is the extinction length given by the expression

$$
t_{\text{ext}} = \frac{2 \sin \theta_0}{\lambda N_c F_{\text{hkl}}} \,. \tag{8}
$$

For an extension of the validity of formula (6) under these conditions, it is necessary to introduce the new relation for the integrated intensity $P_{kin}^{\gamma}(y, t)$ in the form

$$
P_{\text{kin}}^{\nu}(y,t) = 2P_N \frac{|\delta \varphi(y,t)|}{\pi s} C(y,t) , \qquad (9)
$$

where $C(y, t)$ is the correction (White, 1950; Michalec *et al.,* 1974)

$$
C(y,t) = \left[1 - \exp\left(-\frac{2D \text{ tg } \theta_0 \pi s}{t_{\text{ext}} |\delta \varphi(y,t)|}\right)\right].
$$
 (10)

When the time interval δt is comparable to T, the integrated intensity $\overline{P_{\text{kin}}^{\nu}(y,t)}$ of the primarily diffracted neutrons can be reduced as a result of possible *secondary* reflexions (Mikula *et al.,* 1974). The reduction of $\overline{P_{\text{kin}}^{\nu}(y,t)}$ to the value $P^{\nu}(y) = \overline{P^{\nu}(y,t)}$ can be expressed by means of a factor $y_2^0(D)$ [for $C(y,t) = 1$] in the form

$$
P^{V}(y) = 2P_{N} \frac{\overline{|\delta \varphi(y,t)|}}{\pi s} y_{2}^{0}(D) = \overline{P_{\text{kin}}^{v}(y,t)} y_{2}^{0}(D) , \quad (11)
$$

in which (see Appendix)

$$
y_2^0(D) = \frac{\sin \frac{K\omega D}{2v_0 \cos \theta_0}}{K\omega D}.
$$
 (12)

The factor $y_2^0(D)$ can be interpreted as the 'secondary' extinction factor' of the vibrating perfect crystal if $\delta t \leq T$ and $C(y, t) = 1$.

In a similar manner to definition (12), we may also introduce the coefficient $y_1^0 = \overline{y_1^0(t)}$ [for $C(y, t) = 1$]

$$
y_1^0 = \frac{\overline{2|\delta\varphi(y,t)|}}{\pi s},\tag{13}
$$

which characterizes the change due to primary extinction.

Hence from equations (1) , (2) , (11) , (12) and (13) we obtain the following general expression for $P^{V}(y)$,

$$
P^{V}(y) = P_0 v Q y_{\text{ext}} y_1^0 y_2^0 = P_{\text{kin}} \overline{y_{\text{ext}}^{V}} \,. \tag{14}
$$

The factor $y_{ext}^V = y_{ext} y_1^0 y_2^0$ can be taken as a general extinction factor for the vibrating perfect crystal and

 $P^{V}(\gamma)$ as the integrated intensity of neutrons diffracted by a 'real mosaic' crystal. It is apparent that the extreme cases of this 'real' crystal are the perfect nonvibrating one $(U_{0K}=0)$ and ideally imperfect one $(U_{0K} \rightarrow +\infty).$

For the amplitude U_{0K} extremely large we must write the corrected extinction quantities $y_1, y_2(D)$ [instead of $y_1^0, y_2^0(D)$] in the following way (see Appendix)

$$
y_1 = \overline{y_1(t)} = \overline{y_1^0(t)} C(y, t) , \qquad (15)
$$

$$
y_2(D) = 1 - \frac{4K}{y_1(t)T} \int_0^{\delta t/2} y_1(t) \left(1 - \frac{K\omega t}{\beta_0}\right) C(y, t) dt
$$
, (16)

where

$$
2\beta_0 = K\omega\delta t\tag{17}
$$

corresponds to the change of the vibration phase during the time interval δt .

It can be seen from formulae (15) and (16) that

$$
\lim_{U_{0\kappa}\to+\infty}y_1=\frac{1}{y_{\text{ext}}},\qquad(18)
$$

$$
\lim_{U_{0K}\to+\infty}y_2(D)=1.
$$
 (19)

For the non-vibrating crystal $(U_{0K}=0)$ we put $y_1=y_1^0$ $= 1$, $y_2(D) = y_2^0(D) = 1$, which cannot be seen from the theory discussed.

3. Nearly perfect single crystal

The theoretical considerations in §2 can to a good approximation also be applied to nearly perfect crystals if we introduce a quantity s_{exp} (instead of s, but with the same meaning) as the parameter which can be determined from the experimental value of the integrated reflectivity. Similarly the parameter $\delta_{1/2}$ the half width of the diffraction pattern of a single domain - is introduced by Buras *et al.* (1972). If the real crystal is assumed to be an aggregate of perfect crystal domains, y_{ext} is the 'Zachariasen' extinction factor of the non-vibrating sample, in which the effect of both primary and secondary extinctions is included.

From the dynamical theory of diffraction by perfect crystals, P_N is a linear function of s. If s_{exp} is introduced this linearity also holds for nearly perfect crystals. Then using either (6) or (9), we arrive at the following conclusion: nearly perfect crystals of different quality behave (for sufficiently large amplitude U_{0K}) in the same way as real crystals of nearly the same general extinction factor y_{ext}^V , if the same experimental conditions are applied to all the samples. The validity of this conclusion has been experimentally verified and reported by Chalupa, Michalec, Cech, Mikula, Sedláková, Petržílka & Zelenka (1975).

4. Experimental results

To illustrate some of the results following from the theory we used a bar cut from a nearly perfect quartz

Fig. 2. The integrated intensity P^V of neutrons diffracted by the planes (01.0) as a function of the resonant current *i* for $K=1$, $\lambda = 1.05$ Å and for two thicknesses $D=3$ mm [curve (a)] and $D = 14$ mm [curve (b)].

Fig. 3. The integrated intensity P^V of neutrons diffracted by the planes (02.0) as a function of the resonant current *i* for $K= 1$, $\lambda = 1.05$ Å and two thicknesses $D=3$ mm [curve (a)] and $D = 14$ mm [curve (b)].

single crystal in the position of symmetric transmission. The dimensions of the bar were: 3 mm in the X direction, 120 mm in the Y direction and 14 mm in the Z direction. The measurements were carried out by means of the crystal spectrometer TKSN-400 (Petržílka, Michalec, Chalupa, Sedláková, Čech, Mikula $& Vávra, 1972$). The width of the incident beam was

Fig. 4. Experimentally measured values of the extinction coefficient $y_1(t)$ as a function of the resonant current *i* for the planes (01.0) [curve (a)] and (02.0) [curve (b)], related to the thickness $D = 10$ mm, with $\lambda = 1.54$ Å.

Fig. 5. Experimentally measured time dependence of $y_1(t)$ obtained on diffraction by a vibrating crystal bar from the plane (01.0), $D = 3$ mm, $i = 0.75$ mA and $\lambda = 1.54$ Å. T is the vibration period.

10 mm. For the detection of the average intensity values a $^{10}BF_3$ proportional counter was used and for the investigation of the time modulation a thin glass scintillator NE 905.

The bar was piezoelectrically excited in the longitudinal mode in the Y direction at the fundamental resonance frequency $(K=1) f=22.6$ kHz by means of a precise sinusoidal generator and an amplifier. The amplitude U_{01} for $K=1$ was measurable with a microscope and the linear dependence of U_{01} on the resonant current i in the interval from 0 to 10 mA was observed. The amplitude $U_{01} = 10 \mu m$ corresponds to a current $i = 5$ mA.

The neutron beam axis crossed the bar at $y = 5L/12$.

Figs. 2 and 3 show the enhancement of the integrated intensity of neutrons diffracted by (01.0) and (02.0) planes *versus* the resonant current i flowing through the vibrating crystal. The curves (a) correspond to the thickness $D=3$ mm and curves (b) to $D=14$ mm (using simple rotation round the Y axis). In both the cases the neutrons of wavelength $\lambda = 1.05~\text{\AA}$ were used. In Michalec *et al.* (1974), the calculated theoretical values of $P_{\text{kin}}^{v}(y,t)/P_N$ are compared with the experimental results obtained using the same sample.

Fig. 4 shows the dependence of experimental value of the extinction coefficient y_1 on the resonant current *i* for $\lambda = 1.54$ Å.

The time-modulation measurements were carried out by means of multichannel analyser with a channel width of 1 μ s.

The time dependence of the extinction coefficient $y_1(t)$ throughout the period T is shown in the Fig. 5 for $\lambda = 1.54$ Å and $i = 0.75$ mA, using diffraction by the plane (01.0) .

Fig. 6 demonstrates the time dependence of $y_1(t)$ obtained using the plane (02.0) for $\lambda = 1.54$ Å and $i=$ 0.35 mA.

5. Discussion

It can readily be seen from Fig. 2 that in the current interval from 1 to 2.5 mA, the integrated intensity $P^{V}(y)$ [see relation (11)] is dependent only on the irradiated crystal volume v when the condition $y_2(D) \simeq$ $y_2^0(D) = 1$ is accurately fulfilled. $P^V(y)$ can be simply expressed in the form $[C(y, t)=1]$

$$
P^{V}(y) \simeq \overline{P_{\text{kin}}^{V}(y,t)} = 2P_{N}^{1}U_{0K} \frac{2K^{2}\omega^{2} \text{tg}^{2} \theta_{0}}{\pi^{2}sv_{Y}^{2}} v \sin \frac{K\pi}{L} y , \qquad (20)
$$

in which $P_N^1 = P_N/S$ is the integrated intensity per unit area of the front face of the irradiated crystal volume; $v = S$. D, where S is the total area of the front face. Since in both positions of the vibrating bar, to which the curves (a) and (b) correspond, the irradiated crystal volume is the same, it follows from formula (20) that the corresponding integrated intensities will also be equal. The condition given above together with the

results published by Michalec *et al.* (1974) show that the simple expression (6) can be employed only in the current interval from 1 to 2.5 mA.

For larger currents $(i > 2.5 \text{ mA})$, the condition $y_2(D)$ $=$ 1 is not fulfilled for the thickness $D=14$ mm corresponding to the curve (b) . This shows the necessity of using the corrected form (9) of the integrated intensity $P_{kin}^{\nu}(y, t)$. As the amplitude U_{0K} is increased (for $i > 2.5$ mA), the coefficient $y_1(t)$ approaches its limiting value more quickly than the part

$$
\int_0^{\delta t/2} y_1(t) \left(1 - \frac{K\omega t}{\beta_0}\right) C(y,t)
$$

of formula (16), which results in the relative enhancement of the secondary reflexions.

Fig. 3 illustrates the same but more pronounced effect of the secondary reflexions when the plane (02.0) is used.

It can be seen from Fig. 4 and formulae (10), (13) and (15) that large values of $y_1 = y_1(t)$ can be obtained by using planes *(hkl)* with large corresponding structure factors F_{hkl} .

Figs. 5 and 6 depict the results of the experimentally measured time dependence of $y_1(t)$ for (01.0) and (02.0) planes. The eradication of the minima is brought about by the time resolution of the registration equipment and the width of the incident beam. It is evident that for $\theta_0 \neq 0$ the time of flight of the neutrons between the sample and the detector is dependent on the coordinate y.

The authors wish to thank Miss B. Hašková and Mr J. Vávra for their valuable help throughout the measurements.

APPENDIX

Derivation of the general expression for $y_2(D)$ **(K=1)**

Let us suppose that the time spent by neutrons in the crystal bar is δt . During δt there also occurs the change $\delta(\partial U_{yK}/\partial t)$ of the velocity $\partial U_{yK}/\partial t$ and the phase ωt is changed by the value $\omega \delta t = 2\beta_0$. With the elastic deformation neglected, neutrons primarily reflected at the instant t_1 by the planes moving with velocity $(\partial U_{y1}/\partial t)_{t_1}$ can be secondarily reflected back into the incident beam at the instant t_2 by planes of the same type if the condition $(\partial U_{y_1}/\partial t)_{t_1} = (\partial U_{y_1}/\partial t)_{t_2}$ holds (Mikula *et al.*, 1974). Apparently the relation $t_2 - t_1 \leq \delta t$ must also be fulfilled.

It can be seen from Fig. 7 that in the secondary diffraction process at the instant $t_2 = 2\pi/\omega - t_1$ only the neutrons primarily reflected at the instant t_1 take part when ωt_1 lies in the phase interval from $\pi - \beta_0$ to π . An exactly similar situation occurs in the interval from $2\pi-\beta_0$ to $2\pi+\beta_0$. Further we restrict ourselves only to the phase interval from $\pi/2$ to $3\pi/2$ and omit the subscripts.

The neutrons from all parts of the crystal which are primarily reflected in the phase range $(\pi/2 < \omega t < \pi - \beta_0)$ will leave the bar without secondary reflexion and the contribution to the average intensity $P^{V}(y)=\overline{P^{V}(y,t)}$ will be given by

$$
\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\pi/2}^{\pi - \beta_0} P_{\text{kin}}^{\nu}(y, t) d(\omega t), \qquad (A1)
$$

where $P_{kin}^{\nu}(y, t)$ is defined by formula (9).

The neutrons primarily reflected in the range $(\pi-\beta_0 < \omega t < \pi)$ contribute

$$
\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\pi-\beta_0}^{\pi} P_{\text{kin}}^{\nu}(y,t) \frac{\pi-\omega t}{\beta_0} d(\omega t) . \tag{A2}
$$

Fig. 6. Experimentally measured time dependence of $y_1(t)$ obtained on diffraction by a vibrating crystal bar from the plane (02.0), $D = 14$ mm, $i = 0.35$ mA and $\lambda = 1.54$ Å.

Fig. 7. Schematic diagram of sinusoidal dependence of the plane velocity $\partial U_{y_1}/\partial t$ on the phase ωt used for the estimation of the secondary reflexion effect.

The part $(\pi - \omega t)/\beta_0$ determines what proportion of neutrons primarily reflected at the arbitrary instant $t(\pi-\beta_0<\omega t<\pi)$ will not be engaged in the secondary diffraction process since they will leave the crystal before the instant $2\pi/\omega - t(\pi < 2\pi - \omega t < \pi + \beta_0)$ when the diffraction conditions are fulfilled for the second time. The remaining part $[1-(\pi-\omega t)/\beta_0]$ determines the proportion which are engaged in the secondary diffraction process. Formula (10) indicates that neutrons representing the intensity,

$$
P_{\text{kin}}^{\nu}(y,t)\left(1-\frac{\pi-\omega t}{\beta_0}\right)C(y,t)\,,\qquad\qquad\text{(A3)}
$$

are reflected to the direction of the incident beam and that neutrons representing

$$
P_{\text{kin}}^{\nu}(y,t) \left(1 - \frac{\pi - \omega t}{\beta_0}\right) [1 - C(y,t)] \tag{A4}
$$

are flying in the direction of the primarily reflected beam. Hence the total contribution to the average intensity value is

$$
\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\pi-\beta_0}^{\pi} P_{\text{kin}}^{\nu}(y,t) \left(1 - \frac{\pi - \omega t}{\beta_0}\right) [1 - C(y,t)] d(\omega t). \text{ (A5)}
$$

In the range $(\pi < \omega t < \pi + \beta_0)$, it is necessary to estimate the proportion of neutrons, engaged only once in the diffraction process, which have entered the crystal during the time $2(t-\pi/\omega)$ since the equivalent diffraction conditions were last fulfilled. As in expression (A2), we obtain the intensity contribution of these neutrons in the form

$$
\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\pi}^{\pi + \beta^0} P_{\text{kin}}^{\nu}(y, t) \, \frac{\omega t - \pi}{\beta_0} \, \text{d}(\omega t) \, . \tag{A6}
$$

In the range $(\pi < \omega t < \pi + \beta_0)$ we could also find the neutrons primarily engaged in the diffraction process in the range $(\pi - \beta_0 < \omega t < \pi)$, but owing to the correction (10) they would remain in the incident beam. Thus, neutrons of intensity

$$
2P_N \frac{|\delta \varphi(y,t)|}{\pi s} \left[1 - C(y,t)\right] \left(1 - \frac{\omega t - \pi}{\beta_0}\right) \quad \text{(A7)}
$$

from the incident beam are secondarily engaged at the diffraction process in the range $(\pi < \omega t < \pi + \beta_0)$ and intensity

$$
2P_N \frac{|\delta \varphi(y,t)|}{\pi s} \left[1 - C(y,t)\right] \left(1 - \frac{\omega t - \pi}{\beta_0}\right) C(y,t) \tag{A8}
$$

is reflected to the direction of the primarily reflected beam. Then, the intensity contribution over the range is

$$
\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\pi}^{\pi+\beta_0} P_{\text{kin}}^{\nu}(y,t) \left(1 - \frac{\omega t - \pi}{\beta_0}\right) [1 - C(y,t)] d(\omega t). \text{ (A9)}
$$

In the range $(\pi + \beta_0 < \omega t < 3\pi/2)$, the intensity contribution is the same as in the range $(\pi/2 < \omega t < \pi - \beta_0)$ and we obtain

$$
\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\pi+\beta_0}^{3\pi/2} P_{\text{kin}}^{\nu}(y,t)\mathrm{d}(\omega t)\,.
$$
 (A10)

When the contributions $(A1)$, $(A2)$, $(A5)$, $(A6)$, $(A9)$ and $(A10)$ are summed and substitution is made, the intensity $P^V(y)$ can be expressed as

$$
P^{V}(y) = \frac{T}{4} \int_{0}^{T/4} P_{\text{kin}}^{v}(y, t) dt
$$

$$
- \frac{4}{T} \int_{0}^{\delta t/2} P_{\text{kin}}^{v}(y, t) \left(1 - \frac{\omega t}{\beta_{0}}\right) C(y, t) dt, \quad (A11)
$$

in which $P_{kin}^{v}(y, t)$ is determined by relation (9).

Using formulae (9) , (10) , (13) and (15) , we define the factor of 'secondary extinction' $y_2(D)$ by the expression

$$
y_2(D) = 1 - \frac{4}{y_1(t)T} \int_0^{\delta t/2} y_1(t) \left(1 - \frac{\omega t}{\beta_0}\right) C(y, t) dt. (A12)
$$

Putting $C(y,t)=1$ we obtain

$$
y_2^0(D) = 1 - \frac{4}{y_1^0(t)T} \int_0^{\delta t/2} y_1^0(t) \left(1 - \frac{\omega t}{\beta_0}\right) dt = \frac{\sin \beta_0}{\beta_0}.
$$
\n(A13)

For estimation of the time dependence of $P^{V}(y,t)$ (time modulation of the neutron beam) we present the procedure in the range $(\pi/2 < \omega t < \pi)$. In the range $(\pi/2 < \omega t < \pi - \beta_0)$ $P^V(y,t)$ is, with good agreement, equal to the function $P_{kin}^{\nu}(y,t)$. In the range $(\pi \beta_0 < \omega t < \pi$), the theory presented yields $P^V(y, t)$ in the form

$$
P^{V}(y,t) = P_{\text{kin}}^{v}(y,t) \left[1 - \left(1 - \frac{\pi - \omega t}{\beta_0} \right) C(y,t) \right]
$$

= $P_{\text{kin}}^{v}(y,t) y_2(D,t)$. (A14)

Comparing the relations (A12) and (A14) we obtain the following expression for the factor $y_2(D)$.

$$
y_2(D) = \frac{P_{\text{kin}}^{\nu}(y,t)y_2(D,t)}{P_{\text{kin}}^{\nu}(y,t)}\,. \tag{A15}
$$

References

- BURAS, B., GIEBULTOWICZ, T., MINOR, W. & RAJCA, A. (1972). *Phys. Star. Sol.* (a), 9, 423-433.
- CHALUPA, B., MICHALEC, R., ČECH, J., MIKULA, P., SED-LÁKOVÁ, L., PETRŽÍLKA, V. & ZELENKA, J. (1975). *Phys. Stat. Sol. (a).* In preparation.
- MICHALEC, R., CHALUPA, B., SEDLÁKOVÁ, L., MIKULA, P. t~¢ PETR~iLKA, V. (1974). *J. Appl. Cryst.* 7, 588-592.
- MICHALEC, R., SEDLÁKOVÁ, L., ČECH, J. & PETRŽÍLKA, V. (1971). *Phys. Lett.* 37A, 403-405.
- MICHALEC, R., SEDLÁKOVÁ, L., CHALUPA, B., GALOCIOVÁ, D. t% PETR7.iLKA, V. (1971). *Acta Cryst.* A27, 410-414.
- MIKULA, P., MICHALEC, R., ČECH, J., CHALUPA, B., SED-LAKOVA, L. & PETR£iLKA, V. (1974). *Acta Cryst.* A30, 560-564.
- PETRŽÍLKA, V., MICHALEC, R., CHALUPA, B., SEDLÁKOVÁ, L., ČECH, J., MIKULA, P. & VÁVRA, J. (1972). *Jad. energ.* 11, 367-370.
- WHITE, J. E. (1950). *J. Appl. Phys.* 21, 855-859.
- ZACHAmASEN, W. H. (1967). *Acta Cryst.* 23, 558-564.